#### Open Multiparty Interactions in the link-calculus

Linda Brodo (Sassari)

joint work with Chiara Bodei (Pisa) Roberto Bruni (Pisa)

#### Roadmap

- Problem statement: intro and motivation
- A new kind of interaction
- Handling message content
- Encoding mobile ambients
- Conclusion and future work

#### Setting

Modelling concurrent communicating systems

Process calculi approach

(some basic knowledge of CCS and pi assumed, some details omitted)

#### Interaction

An interaction is an action by which (communicating) processes can influence each other

#### Milner's CCS interaction

#### co-action prefix

## a.P | $\overline{a}.Q$

action prefix

## $P \mid Q$

## Milner's CCS interaction co-action prefix a.P $\overline{a}.Q$ action prefix $a \bullet \overline{a} = au$ silent action $P \mid Q$

## Would you...?

#### ...model piano playing using dyadic interaction



Open multiparty interactions are like playing piano (either bad or good, it does not matter)

#### Milner's pi interaction

 $\overline{a}x.P \mid a(y).Q$ T P[Q[x/y]]

#### Any better abstraction?

Internet Biology Social networks Autonomic systems

I/O is the basic form of interaction but "one size won't fit all"

(it is possibly misleading to think otherwise: not all interactions are mutual/reciprocal)

#### In our Vision

Interaction is like a puzzle:

it requires different pieces to fit together

#### Bold claim #1

#### Mutual (I/O-like) interaction is like a kid's puzzle



#### Multiparty interaction

An interaction is multiparty when it involves two or more processes



#### Open interaction

#### An interaction is open when the number of involved processes is not fixed



#### Our aim

Extend the theory of dyadic interactions as little as possible as well as possible to deal with open multiparty interaction

### Motivating example

How to encode Cardelli&Gordon's mobile ambients (in ordinary process calculi)?

CCS/CSP: immutable connectivity

> pi: channel mobility



mobile ambients: mobility of nested processes (barrier crossing)

#### HOpi: flat process mobility

Wednesday 15 March 17

#### Process algebra ops

X process variable rec X.P recursive process

 $P[\phi]$  renaming

# Named, mobile, active, hierarchical ambients

An ambient is a place where computation happens An ambient defines some sort of boundary

An ambient has a name An ambient has a collection of local processes An ambient has a collection of sub-ambients

Ambients are subject to capabilities: Ambients can move in/out of other ambients Ambients can dissolve

#### (Pure) Ambient calculus

nil 0 P ::=m[P]ambient M.P exercise a capability  $P \mid Q$  parallel m $(\nu a)P$  restriction replication !PPM ::=entry capability in mout m exit capability **open** m open capability

### (Pure) Ambient calculus



# Ambient calculus: semantics

#### Structural congruence

$$P \equiv P \qquad Q \equiv P \Rightarrow P \equiv Q \qquad P \equiv Q, Q \equiv R \Rightarrow P \equiv R$$

$$P \mid \mathbf{0} \equiv P \qquad P \mid Q \equiv Q \mid P \qquad (P \mid Q) \mid R \equiv P \mid (Q \mid R)$$

$$(\nu n)\mathbf{0} \equiv \mathbf{0} \qquad (\nu n)(\nu m)P \equiv (\nu m)(\nu n)P \qquad P \equiv Q \Rightarrow P \mid R \equiv Q \mid R$$

$$(\nu n)(P \mid Q) \equiv P \mid (\nu n)Q, \text{ if } n \notin fn(P) \qquad P \equiv Q \Rightarrow (\nu n)P \equiv (\nu n)Q$$

$$!P \equiv P \mid !P \qquad (\nu n)(m[P]) \equiv m[(\nu n)P], \text{ if } n \neq m \qquad P \equiv Q \Rightarrow n[P] \equiv n[Q]$$

#### **Reduction semantics**

$$\frac{1}{n[\operatorname{in} m.P | Q] | m[R] \to m[n[P | Q] | R]} (\operatorname{In})$$

$$\frac{1}{m[n[\operatorname{out} m.P | Q] | R] \to n[P | Q] | m[R]} (\operatorname{Out})$$

$$\frac{1}{m[n[\operatorname{out} m.P | Q] | R] \to n[P | Q] | m[R]} (\operatorname{Out})$$

$$\frac{1}{(\nu n)P \to (\nu n)Q} (\operatorname{Res}) \frac{P \to Q}{n[P] \to n[Q]} (\operatorname{Amb})$$

$$\frac{1}{(P \to Q)} (\operatorname{Par}) \frac{P' \equiv P \qquad P \to Q}{P' \to Q'} (\operatorname{Res}) \qquad Q \equiv Q' \qquad (\operatorname{Cong})$$

Wednesday 15 March 17

## **(In)**

$$n[\operatorname{in} m.P | Q] | m[R] \to m[n[P | Q] | R] \quad (In)$$



## (Out)





### (Open)

$$\overline{\operatorname{open} n.P \,|\, n[Q] \to P \,|\, Q} \ ^{(\operatorname{Open})}$$

open n. P



## A challenge for the audience

### Why is it difficult to encode ambients into pi? (How would you proceed?)

## A challenge for the audience

Why is it difficult to encode ambients into pi? (How would you proceed?)

Personal guess: it is just because ambient-like interaction is inherently non-dyadic!






















































looks like a two-party interaction, but it is not! It is open! (accident of fate): many processes (Q) change location at once



looks like a two-party interaction, but it is not! It is open! (accident of fate): many processes (Q) change location at once



looks like a two-party interaction, but it is not! It is open! (accident of fate): many processes (Q) change location at once



### ok, now it is a two-party interaction But (In) and (Out) become open! they must involve as many fwd-ers as needed

## Some consequences

Proposed encodings are either quite involved or centralized (unnecessary bottle-necks)

LTS semantics for ambients are ad-hoc (to say the least) and based on HO labels

## Some references

- Fabio Gadducci, Giacoma Valentina Monreale: A decentralised graphical implementation of mobile ambients. J. Log. Algebr. Program. 80(2): 113-136 (2011)
- Linda Brodo: On the Expressiveness of the pi-Calculus for encoding Mobile Ambients. Mathematical Structures in Computer Science: in press.
- Gabriel Ciobanu, <u>Vladimir A. Zakharov</u>: Encoding Mobile Ambients into the pi -Calculus. <u>Ershov Memorial Conference 2006</u>: 148-165
- Linda Brodo, Pierpaolo Degano, Corrado Priami: Reflecting Mobile Ambients into the p-Calculus. Global Computing 2003: 25-56
- Cédric Fournet, Jean-Jacques Lévy, Alan Schmitt: An Asynchronous, Distributed Implementation of Mobile Ambients. IFIP TCS 2000:

# Roadmap

- Problem statement: intro and motivation
- A new kind of interaction
- Handling message content
- Encoding mobile ambients
- Conclusion and future work

# (Recall our aim)

Extend the theory of dyadic interactions as little as possible as well as possible to deal with open multiparty interaction

and to encode mobile ambients

### Guidelines

### Keep the syntax simple Do not move the complexity to SOS rules

All we need is just a proper synchronization algebra

## Linked interaction

# We regard an interaction as a chain of links (still a kid's puzzle after all)





Wednesday 15 March 17



### X process variable rec X.P recursive process

 $P[\phi]$  renaming

### Notation

### a interaction over a

### au silent interaction

### □ any interaction (only in labels)

## Link

$$\alpha \setminus \beta$$
 From  $\alpha$  to  $\beta$ 

### Valid:

### $\alpha = \beta = \Box$ or $\alpha, \beta \neq \Box$





Solid (otherwise)

# Examples: CCS-like





# Examples: CCS-like



## Examples: three party









# Examples: three party

### Swiss-bank box



au	a	b	
	a	b	au

# Examples: CSP







# Examples: CSP

a	a	a	
	a	a	a

### Link chain

$$^{\alpha_1}\backslash_{\beta_1} \ ^{\alpha_2}\backslash_{\beta_2} \ \cdots \ ^{\alpha_n}\backslash_{\beta_n}$$

such that:

$$eta_i, lpha_{i+1} \notin \{ au, \Box\}$$
 implies  $eta_i = lpha_{i+1}$   
 $eta_i = au$  iff  $lpha_{i+1} = au$   
 $orall i. lpha_i, eta_i \in \{ au, \Box\}$  implies  $orall i. lpha_i = eta_i = au$ 

## Link chain: terminology

$$\alpha_1 \setminus \beta_1 \ \alpha_2 \setminus \beta_2 \ \dots \ \alpha_n \setminus \beta_n$$

### Solid: if all its links are so

### Simple: if it contains exactly one solid link

 $s \models \ell:$ s is simple and  $\ell$  is the only solid link in s

# Examples: non solid

# Virtual links $\Box \setminus \Box$ can be read as missing pieces of the puzzle



# Examples: simple





# Counter-examples





# (Relevant) SOS rules

$$\frac{s \blacktriangleright \ell}{\ell \cdot P \xrightarrow{s} P} (Act)$$

### equivalence relation



## Examples: merge





# Examples: merge





The definition extends to chains element-wise (the result is undefined if the outcome is not valid)

# Restriction

matched action

$$(\nu a)({}^{\alpha_1}\backslash_{\beta_1} {}^{\alpha_2}\backslash_{\beta_2} ... {}^{\alpha_n}\backslash_{\beta_n})$$

- 1.  $a \neq \alpha_1, \beta_n$ , and
- 2. for any  $i \in [1, n-1]$ , either  $\beta_i = \alpha_{i+1} = a$  or  $\beta_i, \alpha_{i+1} \neq a$ .

restriction

$$(\nu a)(^{\alpha_1} \backslash_{\beta_1} {}^{\alpha_2} \backslash_{\beta_2} \dots {}^{\alpha_n} \backslash_{\beta_n}) \triangleq ((\nu a)\alpha) \backslash_{((\nu a)\beta)}$$
$$(\nu a)\alpha \triangleq \begin{cases} \tau & \text{if } \alpha = a \\ \alpha & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

### Examples: restriction





### Examples: restriction




## Examples: restriction





## (Relevant) SOS rules

$$\frac{s \blacktriangleright \ell}{\ell \cdot P \xrightarrow{s} P} (Act)$$

#### equivalence relation



$$\frac{s \blacktriangleright \ell}{\ell \cdot P \xrightarrow{s} P} (\operatorname{Act}) \qquad \frac{P \xrightarrow{s} P'}{(\nu \, a)s} (\nu \, a)P' \xrightarrow{(\nu \, a)s} (\nu \, a)P'$$

$$\frac{P \xrightarrow{s} P'}{P|Q \xrightarrow{s} P'||Q} \cdot \text{(Lpar)} \qquad \frac{P \xrightarrow{s} P' \qquad Q \xrightarrow{s'} Q'}{P|Q \xrightarrow{s \bullet s'} P'|Q'} \text{(Com)}$$

#### (look as ordinary CCS rules)

## Example

$$P = \tau \backslash_a P_1 | (\nu b) Q$$
 and  $Q = b \backslash_\tau P_2 | a \backslash_b$ 

$$\frac{P_1 \cdot P_2 \xrightarrow{\Box \setminus \Box \setminus b \setminus \tau} P_2}{\left[ \begin{array}{c} & & & & & \\ & & & \\ \hline \hline & & & \\ \hline & & & \\$$

The process algebra of linked interactions is a straightforward extension of CCS It includes CCS as a sub-calculus

Finer (bisimilarity over the) LTS wrt CCS: three kinds of meaningful observables

 ${}^{\tau}\backslash a \qquad {}^{\tau}\backslash {}_{a}{}^{\Box}\backslash {}_{\Box}{}^{b}\backslash {}_{\tau} \qquad {}^{b}\backslash {}_{\tau}$ 

The process algebra of linked interactions is a straightforward extension of CCS It includes CCS as a sub-calculus

Finer (bisimilarity over the) LTS wrt CCS: three kinds of meaningful observables

The process algebra of linked interactions is a straightforward extension of CCS It includes CCS as a sub-calculus

Finer (bisimilarity over the) LTS wrt CCS: three kinds of meaningful observables

## Some references

U. Montanari and M. Sammartino.
 Network conscious pi-calculus.
 ENTCS vol. 286, pp.291–306 (2012)

## Roadmap

- Problem statement: intro and motivation
- A new kind of interaction
- Handling message content
- Encoding mobile ambients
- Conclusion and future work

## Name mobility

Ready to handle mobile ambients interactions

#### but we need to update locations of processes when ambient moves

some form of name mobility is needed

## Handling name mobility

Aim: introduce polyadic communication and reuse/rely on pi as much as possible

One possibility:  $a(\widetilde{x}) \setminus b\widetilde{y}.P$ each link receive some arguments and send some names... too complex

Another possibility:  ${}^{a}\backslash_{b}\widetilde{x}.P$ each link in the chain carry the same list of arguments... but with different (send/receive) capabilities

## Separation of concerns

 $P,Q ::= \cdots \mid \ell t.P$ 

This way we separate the interaction mechanism  $\ell$  from the name passing mechanism t

(We formalize them separately and then fit them together)

## No need to reinvent the wheel

We can easily borrow from pi the name handling machinery (and free it from dyadic interaction legacy)

 $P \mid a(x).Q$  (waits input from P)  $P' \mid Q[b/x]$ 

 $P \mid \overline{a}x.Q \qquad \text{(outputs to P)} \qquad P' \mid Q$ 

 $P \mid (\nu x)\overline{a}x.Q$  (extrudes to P)  $(\nu y)P' \mid Q[y/x]$ 

### 

#### variables are instantiated by values

values are used for matching arguments

$$\langle n, m, \underline{x} \rangle$$

$$\langle \underline{y}, m, k \rangle$$

# Tuple $t = \langle \widetilde{w} \rangle$ w ::= xvalue (output) $\underline{x}$ variable (input)variables are instantiated by values

values are used for matching arguments

$$\begin{array}{ll} \langle n,m,\underline{x}\rangle & \quad \text{Assigns n to y} \\ | & = & \uparrow & \quad \text{Matches m with m} \\ \langle y,m,k\rangle & \quad \text{Assigns k to x} \end{array}$$

## Extrusion

## an argument in a tuple can be extruded if it is not already annotated

extruded arguments are overlined with a hat

$$(\nu a)(sg) \triangleq ((\nu a)s)((\nu a)g)$$
$$(\nu a)\langle w_1, ..., w_n \rangle \triangleq \langle (\nu a)w_1, ..., (\nu a)w_n \rangle$$
$$(\nu a)w \triangleq \begin{cases} w & \text{if } w \neq a, \hat{a}, \underline{a}\\ \hat{a} & \text{if } w = a \end{cases}$$

## Merge

 $sg \bullet s'g' \triangleq (s \bullet s')(g \bullet g')$  $\langle \widetilde{w} \rangle \bullet \langle \widetilde{u} \rangle \triangleq \langle w_1 \bullet u_1, ..., w_n \bullet u_n \rangle$ 

$$w \bullet u \triangleq \begin{cases} w & \text{if } (w = u = v) \lor (w = u = \underline{v}) \\ v & \text{if } (w = v \land u = \underline{v}) \lor (w = \underline{v} \land u = v) \\ \widehat{v} & \text{if } (w = \widehat{v} \land u = \underline{v}) \lor (w = \underline{v} \land u = \widehat{v}) \end{cases}$$

## (Relevant) SOS rules

variables are replaced by actual parameters

$$\frac{\ell \bowtie s \quad g \preceq_{\sigma} t}{\ell t.P \xrightarrow{sg} P\sigma}$$
(Act)

(a appears in g)

$$\frac{P \xrightarrow{sg} P' \quad a \notin g}{(\nu a) P \xrightarrow{(\nu a) sg} (\nu a) P'} (\text{Res}) \qquad \frac{P \xrightarrow{sg} P' \quad a \in g}{(\nu a) P \xrightarrow{(\nu a) sg} P'} (\text{Open})$$

(analogous to (early) pi rules)

$$\begin{array}{c} \left( \begin{array}{c} \textbf{Relevant} \right) \ \textbf{SOS rules} \\ \textbf{(extruded names of g)} \\ \hline P \xrightarrow{sg} P' \quad ex(g) \# fn(Q) \\ P|Q \xrightarrow{sg} P'|Q \end{array} (Lpar) \\ \hline P|Q \xrightarrow{sg} P'|Q \end{array} \right) \\ (Lpar) \\ \hline P|Q \xrightarrow{sg} P' \quad Q \xrightarrow{s'g'} Q' \quad ex(g) \# fn(Q) \\ \hline P|Q \xrightarrow{sg \circ s'g'} P'|Q' \end{array} (Com) \\ \hline P|Q \xrightarrow{sg \circ s'g'} Q' \quad ex(g) \# fn(Q) \\ \hline P|Q \xrightarrow{sg \circ s'g'} P'|Q' \end{array} (Com) \\ \hline P|Q \xrightarrow{s \circ s'} (\nu ex(g \circ g'))(P'|Q') \\ \hline P|Q \xrightarrow{s \circ s'} (\nu ex(g \circ g'))(P'|Q') \end{array} (Close) \\ \hline \end{array}$$

The process calculus of linked interactions with name mobility is a straightforward extension of pi It includes pi as a sub-calculus

#### Finer (bisimilarity over the) LTS wrt pi (but it is a congruence)

## Some references

- Roberto Bruni, <u>Ivan Lanese</u>: Parametric synchronizations in mobile nominal calculi.<u>Theor. Comput. Sci. 402(2-3)</u>: 102-119 (2008)
- Marco Carbone, <u>Sergio Maffeis</u>: On the Expressive Power of Polyadic Synchronisation in pi-calculus. <u>Nord. J.</u>
  <u>Comput. 10</u>(2): 70-98 (2003)

## Roadmap

- Problem statement: intro and motivation
- A new kind of interaction
- Handling message content
- Encoding mobile ambients
- Conclusion and future work

# Encoding mobile ambients

 $\|P\|_{\tilde{a}}$ requests from in capability  $a_{in}$ requests from an ambient  $a_{[in]}$ with in capability inside a $a_{out}$ requests from out capability requests from an ambient  $a_{[out]}$ with out capability inside  $a_{opn}$ requests from open capability







## Desiderata

 $P \to P'$  implies  $\llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} \to \llbracket P' \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}}$ 

#### $\llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} \to Q \text{ implies } \exists P' \quad Q = \llbracket P' \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} \quad P \to P'$

But both statements fail because of forwarders!

## Roundabout

Extend ambients with parentheses

$$P ::= \cdots | \langle P \rangle$$

They are introduced when an ambient is dissolved

## The encoding

$$\begin{split} \llbracket n[P] \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} &\triangleq (\nu \ \tilde{b})(Amb(n, \tilde{b}, \tilde{a})|\llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{b}}) \\ \llbracket n \ m.P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} &\triangleq (\nu \ \tilde{b})(Amb(n, \tilde{b}, \tilde{a})|\llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{b}}) \\ \llbracket n \ m.P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} &\triangleq (\nu \ \tilde{b})(Amb(n, \tilde{b}, \tilde{a})|\llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}}) \\ \llbracket out \ m.P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} &\triangleq (\nu \ \tilde{b})(Amb(n, \tilde{c}, \tilde{y}, n) \cdot \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}}) \\ \llbracket out \ m.P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} &\triangleq (\nu \ \tilde{a}_{out} \langle m, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, out \rangle \cdot \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}}) \\ \llbracket open \ n.P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} &\triangleq (\gamma_{aopn} \langle n, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, opn \rangle \cdot \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}}) \\ \llbracket open \ n.P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} &\triangleq (\gamma_{aopn} \langle n, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, opn \rangle \cdot \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}}) \\ \llbracket (P) \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} &\triangleq (\nu \ n) \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} \\ \llbracket (P) \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} &\triangleq (\nu \ \tilde{b})(Fwd(\tilde{b}, \tilde{a})|\llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{b}}) \\ \llbracket (P) \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} &\triangleq (\nu \ \tilde{b})(Fwd(\tilde{b}, \tilde{a})|\llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{b}}) \\ \llbracket nb(n, \tilde{a}, \tilde{p}) &\triangleq (P) \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} \\ \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} &\triangleq (\mu \ n) \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} \\ \llbracket (P) \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} &\triangleq (\nu \ n) \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} \\ \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} &\triangleq (\nu \ n) \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} \\ \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} &\triangleq (\nu \ n) \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} \\ \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} &\triangleq (\nu \ n) \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} \\ \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} &\triangleq (\nu \ n) \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} \\ \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} &\triangleq (\nu \ n) \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} \\ \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} &\triangleq (\nu \ n) \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} \\ \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} &\triangleq (\nu \ n) \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} \\ \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} &\triangleq (\nu \ n) \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} \\ \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} &\triangleq (\nu \ n) \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} \\ \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} &\triangleq (\nu \ n) \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} \\ \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} &\triangleq (\nu \ n) \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} \\ \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} &\triangleq (\nu \ n) \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} \\ \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} &\triangleq (\nu \ n) \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} \\ \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} &\triangleq (\nu \ n) \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} \\ \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} &\triangleq (\nu \ n) \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} \\ \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} &\triangleq (\nu \ n) \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} \\ \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} &\triangleq (\nu \ n) \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} \\ \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} &\triangleq (\nu \ n) \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} \\ \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} &\triangleq (\nu \ n) \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} \\ \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} &\triangleq (\nu \ n) \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} \\ \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} &\triangleq (\nu \ n) \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} \\ \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} &\triangleq (\nu \ n) \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} \\ \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} &\triangleq (\nu \ n) \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} \\ \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} \\ \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} &\triangleq (\nu \ n) \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} \\ \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} \\ \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} &\triangleq (\nu \ n) \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} \\ \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} \\ \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} \\ \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} &\triangleq (P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} ) \rrbracket_{\tilde{a}} \\ \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\tilde{a$$

## Some references

- Julian Rathke, Pawel Sobocinski: Deriving structural labelled transitions for mobile ambients. Inf. Comput. 208(10): 1221-1242 (2010)
- Filippo Bonchi, Fabio Gadducci, Giacoma Valentina Monreale: Reactive Systems, Barbed Semantics, and the Mobile Ambients. FOSSACS 2009: 272-287
- Massimo Merro, Francesco Zappa Nardelli: Behavioral theory for mobile ambients. J.ACM 52(6): 961-1023 (2005)
- Gian Luigi Ferrari, Ugo Montanari, Emilio Tuosto: A LTS Semantics of Ambients via Graph Synchronization with Mobility. ICTCS 2001: 1-16

## Roadmap

- Problem statement: intro and motivation
- A new kind of interaction
- Handling message content
- Encoding mobile ambients
- Conclusion and future work

## Conclusion

Envisage interaction like a puzzle

A theory of linked interactions

Derive standard first-order LTS semantics (and suitable bisimilarities congruences)

## Ongoing work

#### A joint work with Carlos Olarte

(Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Norte, Brazil)

## "Symbolic semantics for multiparty interactions in the link-calculus"

## Future work

2 possible directions:

working on link chains:

working on tuples:

## Future work

2 possible directions:

working on link chains;

working on tuples:

 $\alpha_1 \setminus \beta_1 \ \alpha_2 \setminus \beta_2 \ \cdots \ \alpha_n \setminus \beta_n$ 

quantitative extentions:

- probability
- stochastic

•••

but also

- distance
- money

•••

## Future work

#### 2 possible directions:

working on link chains:

working on tuples:

$$\alpha_1 \setminus \beta_1 \ \alpha_2 \setminus \beta_2 \ \dots \ \alpha_n \setminus \beta_n$$

quantitative extentions:

- probability
- stochastic

•••

#### but also

- distance
- money

•••



I trust this This is a lie

I do not believe this
## Future work

## 2 possible directions:

working on link chains:

working on tuples:

$$\alpha_1 \setminus \beta_1 \ \alpha_2 \setminus \beta_2 \ \cdots \ \alpha_n \setminus \beta_n$$

quantitative extentions:

- probability
- stochastic

•••

but also

- distance
- money

•••



Wednesday 15 March 17

## The End

## THANKS FOR THE ATTENTION

Wednesday 15 March 17